

The Containment Problem

Michael Capalbo

`mcapalbo@dimacs.rutgers.edu`

(Joint work with James Abello)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a network (e.g., social, computer network, ect.), and let S_0 be any subset of V .

- ▷ Every node in S_0 is infected with a virus that spreads from each infected node to all of its nonvaccinated neighbors in one time-step.
- ▷ Our allowed response: vaccinate a limited number (about a_l) of nodes during each time step $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots, t$
- ▷ Our goal: find what nodes to vaccinate each step to minimize the total number m of nodes that eventually become infected.

We call this the Containment Problem (input is G , S_0 , and the a_l 's).

Why would we care about solving the Containment Problem?

- ▷ Limited supply of vaccine available initially to stop an infection.
- ▷ Containment of computer virus spreading through a network.
- ▷ Blocking off suspects from escaping the scene of a crime where only a limited number of policeman are available initially.

Note that it is always at least as effective to vaccinate a node earlier rather than later.

Lemma 1 Let C be the set of nodes that we vaccinate at some point or another. If each node v in C is vaccinated before the infection reaches it, then the number of nodes that eventually become infected is the number of nodes that share a component in $G \setminus C$ with a node in S_0 .

Unfortunately, the Containment Problem (CP) is NP-hard: It is probably impossible to devise a tractable algorithm that returns an optimum strategy.

So we devise a tractable approximation algorithm for CP that returns a (slightly) inferior vaccination strategy:

- ▶ have to vaccinate slightly more ($O(\log |V(G)|) \times a_l$ nodes instead of only a_l nodes as before) at each time-step l , and
- ▶ the total number of nodes that become infected is no more than $3m$ (instead of the minimum m as before).

And we also require that the network G satisfy the following expansion property: Every subset S of no more than a quarter of the nodes of G has at least $|S|$ neighbors outside S .

- ▷ Empirical evidence: social networks resemble random graphs
- ▷ Random graphs have expansion property
- ▷ So our assumption about the expansion properties of G is reasonable.

Overview of the approximation algorithm for the CP

Lemma 2 If G is an expander, then it is possible to vaccinate only twice as many nodes per time-step as before for the first $t = \log |V(G)|$ time-steps, and then none after, so that no more than nodes than before become infected.

- ▷ Formulate CP as an integer program (IP), where we vaccinate $2a_l$ nodes per time-step $l \leq t$ (and none thereafter) instead of only a_l .
- ▷ State and solve an appropriate linear relaxation (LP) of (IP).
- ▷ Use combinatorial techniques (and that t in Lemma 2 is small) to convert the solution for (LP) into a vaccination strategy.

- ▷ Formulate the CP as an integer program (IP). ←
- ▷ State and solve an appropriate linear relaxation (LP) of (IP).
- ▷ Use combinatorial techniques...

(IP) Minimize

$$\sum_{v \in V} \sum_{i=0}^{|V|} |x_{v,i}|$$

subject to

- ▷ $\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} y_{v,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{l+1} x_{v,i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^l x_{u,i}$, $\forall v \in V$, $\forall \{u, v\} \in E$, and $\forall j = 1, 2, \dots$
- ▷ $\sum_{v \in V} y_{v,l} \leq 2a_l$, $\forall l = 1, 2, \dots, t$, and $y_{v,l} = 0$ for all $l > t$.
- ▷ $x_{s,0} = 1$, $\forall s \in S_0$, and
- ▷ $x_{v,i}, y_{v,i} \in \{0, 1\}$, $\forall v \in V$; $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, |V|$.

- ▷ Formulate the CP as an integer program (IP). ✓
- ▷ State and solve an appropriate linear relaxation (LP) of (IP). ←
- ▷ Use combinatorial techniques...

(LP) Minimize

$$\sum_{v \in V} \sum_{i=0}^{|V|} |x_{v,i}|$$

subject to

- ▷ $\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} y_{v,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{l+1} x_{v,i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^l x_{u,i}, \forall v \in V, \forall \{u, v\} \in E, \text{ and } \forall l = 1, 2, \dots$
- ▷ $\sum_{v \in V} y_{v,l} \leq 2a_l, \forall l = 1, 2, \dots, t, \text{ and } y_{v,l} = 0 \text{ for all } l > t.$
- ▷ $x_{s,0} = 1, \forall s \in S_0, \text{ and}$
- ▷ $0 \leq x_{v,i}, y_{v,i} \leq 1, \forall v \in V; \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, |V|.$

- ▷ Formulate the CP as an integer program (IP). ✓
- ▷ State and solve an appropriate linear relaxation (LP) of (IP). ✓
- ▷ Use combinatorial techniques (and the fact that t (number of time-steps) is small) to convert the solution of (LP) into a vaccination strategy ←

Sol'n of LP → vaccination strategy

- ▷ Let $\{x_{v,i}, y_{v,i} \mid v \in V; i = 0, 1, \dots, |V|\}$ be the sol'n to (LP).
- ▷ Set S to be the nodes v s.t. $\sum_i x_{v,i} \geq \frac{2}{3}$, and T to be the nodes v s.t. $\sum_i x_{v,i} < \frac{1}{3}$, and let C be a min-cut in G between S and T .
- ▷ Let C_1 be the vertices v in S such that $y_{v,1} \geq \frac{1}{3t}$. For general $l < t$, set C_{l+1} to be the vertices v in S of distance at least $l + 1$ from a vertex in S_0 in $G \setminus (C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_l)$, s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^l y_{v,i} \geq \frac{1}{3t}$.
- ▷ For each $l < t$, vaccinate the vertices in C_l at time step l , and the vertices in $C_t \cup C$ at time step t , and return.

Further research directions

- ▷ Remove the condition that G is an expander.
- ▷ Improve the approximation factors of the algorithm.
- ▷ Establish stronger hardness results.