Reactive Power Support for Large-Scale Wind Generation

Ian A. Hiskens

Vennema Professor of Engineering Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

Acknowledgements: Sina Baghsorkhi, Jon Martin, Daniel Opila.



DIMACS Workshop on Energy Infrastructure February 2013

Motivation (1)

- Utility-scale wind generation should be capable of:
 - Voltage regulation.
 - Dynamic reactive support.
- Provision of these services should be consistent with traditional generation.
- Wind-farms are composed of many distributed wind turbine generators (WTGs).
 - Behavior is vastly different to a single large generator.

Motivation (2)

- A number of issues have been observed in practice:
 - Many wind-farms are located at lower (sub-transmission) voltage levels.
 - Actual reactive power available from wind-farms is less than predicted.
 - Ad hoc schemes are used to coordinate capacitor/reactor switching with Statcom/SVC controls.
 - Excessive switching, resulting in high circuit-breaker maintenance.
 - Reduced dynamic (fast acting) reactive reserve.

Outline

- Wind-farms on sub-transmission networks.
- Reactive power from the collector system.
- Coordination of wind-farm reactive sources.

Wind-farm overview



Wind-farms at sub-transmission



Effect of resistance



$$\left(\frac{V_2^2 R}{R^2 + X^2} - P\right)^2 + \left(\frac{V_2^2 X}{R^2 + X^2} - Q\right)^2 = \frac{V_1^2 V_2^2}{R^2 + X^2}$$







Wind-farm voltage control



- Constant power factor/ limited voltage control:
 - Increased tap operations at distribution OLTCs.
 - Reduced tap operations at sub-transmission OLTCs.
- Full voltage control:
 - Reduced tap operations at distribution OLTCs.
 - Increased tap operations at sub-transmission OLTCs.

9

Reactive power availability

Generator voltage limits restrict maximum available reactive power.

WTG#20

WTG#19

WTG#10

WTG#1





Farm-level system optimization



Information classes

- 1) **Exact Future Knowledge** Exact knowledge of the future for the full time horizon.
- 2) **Stationary Stochastic Knowledge-** Stationary stochastic predictions about the future, no explicit forecasting.
- 3) No Explicit Future Knowledge- Both optimization- and rule-based methods.

Controllers with future knowledgeNumber of Capacitor SwitchesSTATCOM Usage
$$c_k(x_k, u_k) = \alpha N_{CS} + abs(\overline{S})$$
 \checkmark Given DataObjective1) Exact future knowledge:
Deterministic Dynamic
Programming (DDP)P(t) $min \sum_{0}^{T} c_k(x, u)$
such that
 $g_k(x_k, u_k) \le 0 \ \forall k$ 2) Stochastic knowledge:
Programming (SDP)P(P_{k+1} | P_k) $min \ E_w \sum_{0}^{\infty} \gamma^k c(x, u)$
such that
 $g(x, u) \le 0 \ \forall k.$

Controllers without future knowledge

$$c_k(x_k, u_k) = \alpha N_{CS} + abs(\bar{S})$$



Capacitor switching versus Statcom







Conclusions

- Resistance can have an important, but nonintuitive, effect for wind-farms connected at the sub-transmission level.
- Total reactive power available from WTGs may be much less than expected.
- System-level control of substation equipment can improve performance, but future information is important.