

Open Questions & Research Areas in Privacy-Preserving Data Mining



Yehuda Lindell
IBM T.J.Watson



Extended Models

Adversarial power:

Until now, almost only semi-honest, static

Extensions:

Malicious

Honest looking

Others...

Trust:

Better to assume nothing.

Practically, **optimistic models** or others are worth consideration



Extended Models

Composition:

Until now, only stand-alone

Extensions: really need security under **concurrent general composition**

Implies a necessity for a **common reference string**, or to look for **weaker definitions**

Also relates to “non-cryptographic” methods (perturbation methods may be carried out independently in similar databases...)



Application versus Theory

Finally, someone actually wants to **use** secure multiparty computation

I.e., someone wants to use it, rather than us wanting them to use it

Can we provide real solutions to real users?

Danger of “expert systems”



Applying Secure Computation

Necessary conditions:

We need to find out what **models** are truly **realistic/acceptable**, & in what settings

Does the semi-honest model really suffice for government agencies and privacy law

We need to understand **what problems** are really **of interest** (ID3 versus C4.5)

Involves also learning more about data mining

We need to understand **how we can fit** into the **data mining process** (e.g., tweaking, cross validation).



Applying Secure Computation

Can we build a **prototype** for a realistic scenario, and see how it works?

In data mining, implementation is essential for determining **usability**

Here too, **many real problems may only be revealed upon implementation**

Can imagine an intermediary step whereby the data mining computation will actually not take place securely (actually pool data). Users will not see this.
Drawback: can only test where it is not really needed.