DIMACS Technical Report 2008-14
November 2008

Friendship two-graphs

by

Endre Boros[1]
RUTCOR, Rutgers Center for Operations Research
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
640 Bartholomew Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8003, USA
boros@rutcor.rutgers.edu

Vladimir A. Gurvich
RUTCOR, Rutgers Center for Operations Research
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
640 Bartholomew Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8003, USA
gurvich@rutcor.rutgers.edu

Igor E. Zverovich
RUTCOR, Rutgers Center for Operations Research
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
640 Bartholomew Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8003, USA
igor@rutcor.rutgers.edu

[1]This research was partially supported by DIMACS, Center for Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, Rutgers University.

DIMACS is a collaborative project of Rutgers University, Princeton University, AT&T Labs–Research, Bell Labs, NEC Laboratories America and Telcordia Technologies, as well as affiliate members Avaya Labs, HP Labs, IBM Research, Microsoft Research, Stevens Institute of Technology, Georgia Institute of Technology and Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. DIMACS was founded as an NSF Science and Technology Center.

ABSTRACT

A friendship graph is a graph in which every two distinct vertices have exactly one common neighbor. All finite friendship graphs are known, each of them consists of triangles having a common vertex. We extend friendship graphs to two-graphs, a two-graph being an ordered pair $G = (G_0, G_1)$ of edge-disjoint graphs G_0 and G_1 on the same vertex-set $V(G_0) = V(G_1)$. One may think that the edges of G are colored with colors 0 and 1. In a *friendship two-graph*, every unordered pair of distinct vertices u, v is connected by a unique bicolored 2-path. Friendship two-graphs are solutions to the matrix equation $AB + BA = J - I$, where A and B are $n \times n$ symmetric $0 - 1$ matrices of the same dimension, J is an $n \times n$ matrix with every entry being 1, and I is the identity $n \times n$ matrix.
We show that there are no finite friendship two-graph with minimum vertex degree at most two. However, we construct an infinite such graph, and the construction can be extended to an infinite family. Also, we find a finite friendship two-graph, and conjecture that it is unique.

keywords: friendship two-graphs, matrix equation

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C38 (Paths and cycles), 05C50 (Graphs and matrices), 05C75 (Structural characterization of types of graphs).

1 Introduction

A friendship graph is a graph in which every two distinct vertices have exactly one common neighbor. Friendship graphs were characterized by Erdős, Rényi, and Sós [3]: a friendship graph consists of triangles incident to a common vertex. Kotzig generalized friendship graphs to graphs in which every pair of vertices is connected by λ paths of length k. His conjecture is that, for $k \geq 3$, there is no finite graph in which every pair of vertices is connected by a unique path, see also Bondy [1] and Kostochka [4].



Figure 1: A friendship graph.

Here we consider another generalization. A *two-graph*, is an ordered pair (G_0, G_1) of edge-disjoint graphs G_0 and G_1 on the same vertex-set $V(G_0) = V(G_1)$. In other words, a two-graph is a graph with a partition of its edges into two color classes. The two colors will be denoted by 0 and 1. We say that vertices u and v are *i-adjacent* or they are i-neighbors of each other if the edge uv has color $i \in \{0, 1\}$. A 2-path (u, x, v) in (G, H) is called *bicolored* if either $ux \in E(G)$ and $xv \in E(H)$, or $ux \in E(H)$ and $xv \in E(G)$.

Definition 1. *A two-graph (G, H) is called a* friendship two-graph *if, for every unordered pair of distinct vertices u, v, there exists a unique bicolored 2-path connecting u and v.*

Friendship two-graphs are solutions to the matrix equation $AB + BA = J - I$, where A and B are $n \times n$ symmetric $0 - 1$ matrices of the same dimension, J is an $n \times n$ matrix with every entry being 1, and I is the identity $n \times n$ matrix. A related matrix equation was considered by Chvátal, Graham, Perold, and Whitesides [2].

2 Small minimum degree

A trivial friendship two-graph has just one vertex. The only non-trivial friendship two-graph, called F, that we know is shown in Figure 2. There are exactly 21 bicolored 2-paths in F, namely:

$(1, 7, 2)$, $(1, 2, 3)$, $(1, 7, 4)$, $(1, 6, 5)$, $(1, 7, 6)$, $(1, 6, 7)$,
$(2, 7, 3)$, $(2, 3, 4)$, $(2, 7, 5)$, $(2, 1, 6)$, $(2, 1, 7)$,

(3, 7, 4), (3, 4, 5), (3, 7, 6), (3, 2, 7),
(4, 7, 5), (4, 5, 6), (4, 3, 7),
(5, 7, 6), (5, 4, 7),
(6, 5, 7).



Figure 2: The friendship two-graph F.

We conjecture that F is a unique non-trivial friendship two-graph.

Theorem 1. *Every non-trivial friendship two-graph has minimum degree at least three.*

Proof. Let $G = (G_0, G_1)$ be a non-trivial friendship two-graph having a vertex v of degree at most two. Clearly, v cannot be an isolated vertex, so we may assume that v is 1-adjacent to a vertex w. Consider the unique bicolored 2-path (v, u, w) connecting v and w. If uv is a 0-edge then G does not have a bicolored 2-path connecting u and v, since v has degree at most two (in fact, exactly two). Thus, uv is a 1-edge and uw is a 0-edge, see Figure 3.



Figure 3: The subgraph induced by the set $\{u, v, w\}$.

A $(2+2)$-*cycle* is a 4-cycle that contains exactly two 0-edges and exactly two 1-edges.

Property 1. *A friendship two-graph does not have* $(2+2)$*-cycles.*

Proof. Consider a $(2+2)$-cycle (a, b, c, d), see Figure 4. If ab and cd are 0-edges then there are two bicolored 2-paths connecting a and c, a contradiction. If ab and bc are 0-edges then there are two bicolored 2-paths connecting b and d, a contradiction. □

Figure 4: Two $(2+2)$-cycles.

Now consider a bicolored 2-path (u, x, w) connecting u and v. By symmetry, we may assume that wx is a 1-edge, and ux a 0-edge. Clearly, x is non-adjacent to v.

Property 2. *For every vertex* $z \neq v$*, exactly one of* uz *or* wz *is a* 0*-edge.*

Proof. Indeed, either (v, u, z) or (v, w, z) is a bicolored 2-path, but not both. □

Property 3. (i) *The only* 1*-edge incident to* u *is* uv*.*

(ii) *The only* 1*-edges incident to* w *are* vw *and* wx*.*

Proof. (i) Suppose that uz is a 1-edge with $z \neq v$. By Property 2 w and z are 0-adjacent. We obtain a $(2+2)$-cycle (u, z, w, x), a contradiction to Property 1.

(ii) Now let wz be a 1-edge with $z \neq v, x$. By Property 2 u and z are 0-adjacent, and (w, z, u, x) is a $(2+2)$-cycle, a contradiction to Property 1. □

There must be a bicolored 2-path (w, y, x) connecting w and x. By Property 3, xy is a 1-edge, and therefore wy is a 0-edge. Property 2 and Property 3 show that y is non-adjacent to u.

Property 4. *The only* 1*-edges incident to* x *are* wx *and* xy*.*

Proof. Suppose that xz is a 1-edge with $z \neq w, y$. If uz is a 0-edge then (u, w, x, z) is a $(2+2)$-cycle, a contradiction to Property 1. By Property 2 w and z are 0-adjacent. But then (w, y, x, z) is a $(2+2)$-cycle, a contradiction to Property 1. □

The current subgraph H induced by the set $\{u, v, w, x, y\}$ is shown in Figure 5. It can be viewed as a particular snake two-graph $S(5)$ defined below.



Figure 5: The subgraph H induced by the set $\{u, v, w, x, y\}$.

For an integer $n \geq 1$, the *snake two-graph* of order n, $S(n)$, is defined by the following:

- $V(S(n)) = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\}$, and we also use alternative names of the vertices: $s_{4k-3} = p_k$, $s_{4k-2} = p'_k$, $s_{4k-1} = q_k$, $s_{4k} = q'_k$ for $k \geq 1$,

- the set of 1-edges is $\{s_1s_2, s_2s_3, \ldots, s_{n-1}s_n\}$, and
- the set of 0-edges is generated by the following two rules:
  - every vertex $p_i \in V(S(n))$ is 0-adjacent to all q_j and q'_j with $j \geq i$,
  - every vertex $q_i \in V(S(n))$ is 0-adjacent to all p_j and p'_j with $j \geq i$.

Figure 6 shows an example of a snake two-graph.



Figure 6: The snake two-graph $S(12)$.

Now we extend the induced subgraph H to an inclusion-wise maximal induced subgraph $S = S(n)$ of G [with $V(S(n)) = \{s_1 = u, s_2 = v, s_3 = w, s_4 = x, s_5 = y, \dots, s_n\}$] satisfying the following condition.

Condition 1. (i) *The only* 1*-edge of* G *incident to* s_1 *is* s_1s_2.

(ii) *The only* 1*-edges of* G *incident to* s_i, $2 \le i \le n-1$, *are* $s_{i-1}s_i$ *and* s_is_{i+1}.

Note that the subgraph H satisfies Condition 1 according to Property 3 and Property 4. The vertex s_n may be incident to a 1-edge distinct from $s_{n-1}s_n$.

One can directly check that there exist a unique bicolored 2-path P connecting distinct vertices $s_i \neq s_n$ and $s_j \neq s_n$.

1) If $s_i = p_k$, $s_j = p_l$ and $i < j$, then $P = (p_k, q'_{l-1}, p_l)$.

2) If $s_i = p_k$, $s_j = p'_l$ and $i \le j$, then $P = (p_k, q_l, p'_l)$.

3) If $s_i = p_k$, $s_j = q_l$ and $i \leq j$, then $P = (p_k, q_l', q_l)$.
4) If $s_i = p_k$, $s_j = q_l'$ and $i \leq j$, then $P = (p_k, q_l, q_l')$.
5) If $s_i = p_k'$, $s_j = p_l'$ and $i < j$, then $P = (p_k', q_k, p_l')$.
6) If $s_i = p_k'$, $s_j = q_l$ and $i \leq j$, then $P = (p_k', p_k, q_l)$.
7) If $s_i = p_k'$, $s_j = q_l'$ and $i \leq j$, then $P = (p_k', p_k, q_l')$.
8) If $s_i = q_k$, $s_j = q_l$ and $i < j$, then $P = (q_k, p_k, q_l)$.
9) If $s_i = q_k$, $s_j = q_l'$ and $i \leq j$, then $P = (q_k, p_k, q_l')$.
10) If $s_i = q_k'$, $s_j = q_l'$ and $i < j$, then $P = (q_k', p_k, q_l')$.

Case 1. $s_n \in \{p_k, q_k'\}$.

In this case (q_i, s_n), $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ are the only pairs of S that are not connected by a bicolored 2-path. In particular, there exists a vertex $s_{n+1} \notin V(S)$ such that (q_1, s_{n+1}, s_n) is a bicolored 2-path. Condition 1 shows that $q_1 s_{n+1}$ is a 0-edge and therefore $s_{n+1} s_n$ is a 1-edge.

Property 5. *There is no vertex $z \notin \{s_{n-1}, s_{n+1}\}$ which is 1-adjacent to the vertex s_n.*

Proof. Clearly, $z \notin V(S)$. By Property 2 exactly one of $p_1 z$ or $q_1 z$ is a 0-edge. Then either (p_1, s_{n-1}, s_n, z) or (q_1, s_{n+1}, s_n, z) a $(2+2)$-cycle, a contradiction to Property 1. □

Condition 1 shows that s_n is the only vertex of S which is 1-adjacent to s_{n+1}. We claim that s_{n+1} is 0-adjacent to all $q_i \in V(S)$. Indeed, otherwise s_{n+1} is non-adjacent to some q_i, and there must be a bicolored 2-path (q_i, z, s_n) with $z \neq s_{n+1}$. It is impossible by Condition 1 and Property 5.

Finally, we note that s_{n+1} is non-adjacent to all vertices p_i and q_i' in $V(S) \setminus \{z_n\}$. Indeed, if s_{n+1} is adjacent to some p_i, then $s_{n+1} p_i$ a 0-edge. We obtain a second bicolored 2-path (p_i, s_{n+1}, s_n) connecting p_i and s_n, a contradiction. A similar contradiction arises with a 0-edge $s_{n+1} q_i'$.

Thus, the set $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{n+1}\}$ induces the snake two-graph $S(n+1)$, contradiction to maximality of n.

Case 2. $s_n \in \{p_k', q_k\}$.

The only pairs of S that are not connected by a bicolored 2-path are (p_i, s_n), $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. As in Case 1, one can extend S to the snake two-graph $S(n+1)$, obtaining a contradiction to maximality of n. □

3 Balls of snakes

If we continue the construction in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain an infinite two-graph $S(\infty)$ on vertex-set $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n, \ldots\}$. It is easy to see that $S(\infty)$ is a friendship two-graph with minimum vertex degree $\delta = 2$. We distinguish two-graphs up to renaming of the two colors, that is (G_0, G_1) and (G_1, G_0) are considered as the same two-graph. We are going to show that $S(\infty)$ is not unique infinite friendship two-graph with minimum vertex degree $\delta \leq 2$.

Consider and arbitrary infinite friendship two-graph G with minimum vertex degree $\delta \leq 2$. The proof of Theorem 1 shows that G must contain $H = S(\infty)$ as an induced subgraph. As before, we denote $V(H) = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n, \ldots\}$, see Figure 6.

First note that there are no 1-edges connecting a vertex of H with a vertex of X, see Condition 1. Therefore X induces a friendship two-graph H' (finite or infinite). Using Property 2, we subdivide X into disjoint subsets A and B such that every vertex of A (respectively, B) is 0-adjacent to the vertex s_1 (respectively, s_3) of H.

The set of all 1-edges within A constitutes a perfect matching M_A to guarantee the existence of a bicolored 2-path connecting s_1 and an arbitrary vertex of A and to avoid $(2+2)$-cycles (s_1, a_1, a_2, a_3), where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$. The set of all 1-edges between A and B is a disjoint union of stars $S(1), S(2), \ldots, S(k)$ centered at some vertices of A and such that every vertex of B is a pendant vertex of a unique star $S(i)$. The stars provide bicolored 2-paths from s_1 to an arbitrary vertex of B. In fact, every star $S(i)$ is just a 1-edge $a_i b_i$, $a_i \in A$ and $b_i \in B$, otherwise there is a $(2+2)$-cycle of the form (s_3, b, a, b'), where $b, b' \in B$ are pendant vertices of a star centered at $a \in A$. Thus, we have a matching $M_{AB} = \{a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \ldots, a_k b_k\}$ of 1-edges which covers B, that is $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k\}$.

The set of all 1-edges within B constitutes a matching M_B, not necessarily perfect and possibly empty. Indeed, 1-edges $b_1 b_2$ and $b_2 b_3$, $b_i \in B$, produce a $(2+2)$-cycle (s_3, b_1, b_2, b_3), which is impossible. Let $H' = (H'_0, H'_1)$. The matchings M_A, M_{AB} and M_B constitute edge-set of H'_1, and H'_1 is disjoint union of paths (finite or infinite) and/or even cycles. Every component K of H'_1 by itself induces a friendship two-graph.

Claim 1. *If K is a cycle C_n, then $n = 4k$ and K does not induce a friendship two-graph.*

Proof. The fact $n = 4k$ is easy. We show that it is impossible to add 0-edges to $K = C_{4k}$ to obtain a friendship two-graph. Suppose it is possible. For $t \geq 2$, define a *t-chord* as a 0-edge connecting two vertices at distance t along the cycle C_{4k}. Let $D(l)$ be the set of all unordered pairs of vertices at distance l along the cycle C_{4k}. Clearly, $|D(1)| = |D(2)| = \cdots = |D(2k-1)| = 4k$, and $|D(2k)| = 2k$, and $|D(l)| = 0$ for all $l \geq 2k+1$. Every t-chord produces bicolored 2-paths connecting two pairs in $D(t-1)$ and bicolored 2-paths connecting two pairs in $D(t+1)$. To create $4k$ bicolored 2-paths for pairs in $D(2)$ we must add $2k$ 3-chord. These 2-paths automatically satisfy all pairs in $D(4)$. Then we must add $2k$ 7-chord to create $4k$ bicolored 2-paths for pairs in $D(6)$. These 2-paths automatically satisfy all pairs in $D(8)$, and so on. Finally, we obtain a contradiction to the fact $|D(2k)| = 2k$, $2k$ $(2k-1)$-chord will create $4k$ bicolored 2-paths for pairs in $D(2k)$. □

Thus, K must be a path. We show that it is possible. For that we define an infinite *bi-snake*, denoted by $B(\infty)$, on vertex set

$$\{\dots, a_{-1}, a'_{-1}, b_{-1}, b'_{-1}, a_0, a'_0, b_0, b'_0, a_1, a'_1, b_1, b'_1, \dots\}.$$

The set of 1-edges form the path $(\dots, a_{-1}, a'_{-1}, b_{-1}, b'_{-1}, a_0, a'_0, b_0, b'_0, a_1, a'_1, b_1, b'_1, \dots)$. Every vertex a_i is 0-adjacent to all b_j and b'_j with $j \geq i$. Every vertex b_i is 0-adjacent to all a_j and a'_j with $j \geq i$.

Claim 2. *$B(\infty)$ is an infinite friendship two-graph.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

The *A-set* (respectively, *B-set*) of $B(\infty)$ consists of all vertices a_j and a'_j (respectively, a_j and a'_j).

Theorem 2. *There are infinitely many infinite friendship two-graphs with minimum vertex degree $\delta = 2$, and all of them contain $S(\infty)$ as an induced subgraph.*

Proof. For an integer $n \geq 0$, we define a *ball of snakes* as an infinite friendship two-graph G_n consisting of one copy H of $S(\infty)$, n pairwise vertex-disjoint copies H_n of $B(\infty)$ and an additional set S of 0-edges. Every vertex p_i (respectively, q_i) of H is 0-adjacent to all vertices in the A-set (respectively, B-set) of H_n. For H_m and H_n with $m < n$, the set S has following 0-edges connecting H_m and H_n: every vertex a_i (respectively, b_i) of H_m is 0-adjacent to all vertices in the A-set (respectively, B-set) of H_n.

It is easy to see that G_n is a friendship two-graph for every $n \geq 0$. □

4 Augmenting infinite paths

We use the proof of Claim 1 to solve the following problem: Given in infinite path

$$P = (\dots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots)$$

consisting of 1-edges $u_i u_{i+1}$, add 0-edges to P to obtain a friendship two-graph. We show that there are uncountably many solutions. Using the terminology in the proof of Claim 1, we first introduce a set of 2-chords to create bicolored 2-paths between vertices at distance 1 along P. Consider u_0 and u_1. For them, there are two variants: either

(V1) u_0 is 0-adjacent to u_2, or

(V2) u_{-1} is 0-adjacent to u_1.

These variants are inconsistent, since we have a $(2+2)$-cycle (u_0, u_2, u_1, u_{-1}). Let us consider (V1). It creates a bicolored 2-paths between the vertices u_1 and u_2, and therefore the 2-chord u_1u_3 should be rejected. To have a bicolored 2-paths between the vertices u_2 and u_3, we must introduce the 2-chord u_2u_4. In turn, u_3u_5 is forbidden. Now it is clear that we must choose exactly one of the two sets of 2-chords, namely

$$S_2 = \{u_{2i}u_{2i+2} : i \in Z\}$$

and

$$S'_2 = \{u_{2i+1}u_{2i+3} : i \in Z\}.$$

Each of the two sets produces bicolored 2-paths between all pairs of vertices at distance 1 and 3. It implies that there are no 4-chord at all.

A similar situation takes place for pairs of vertices at distance 2. For u_0 and u_2, we should introduce a 3-chord, and there are two inconsistent variants: u_0u_3 and $u_{-1}u_2$. The variant u_0u_3 creates also a bicolored 2-path connecting u_1 and u_3. Hence the 3-chord u_1u_4 is forbidden. It implies the existence of the 3-chord u_2u_5 to satisfy the pair u_2, u_4. As before, we must choose exactly one of the two sets of 3-chords, namely

$$S_3 = \{u_{2i}u_{2i+3} : i \in Z\}$$

and

$$S'_3 = \{u_{2i+1}u_{2i+4} : i \in Z\}.$$

Either of them produces bicolored 2-paths for all pairs at distance 2 and 4. It implies that there are no 5-chord at all.

In general, we always have two choices, $S_{4k-2} = \{u_{2i}u_{2i+4k-2} : i \in Z\}$ and $S'_{4k-2} = \{u_{2i+1}u_{2i+4k-1} : i \in Z\}$, for $(4k-2)$-chords, $k \geq 1$. Each of them creates all required paths between pairs of vertices at distance $4k-3$ and $4k-1$, implying that there are no $4k$-chords. Similarly, there are exactly two choices S_{4k-1} and S'_{4k-1}, for $(4k-1)$-chords, $k \geq 1$, and there are no $(4k+1)$-chords for all $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 3. *There are uncountably many infinite friendship two-graphs in which the* 1*-edges constitute an infinite path* $(\ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, u_2, \ldots)$.

5 Two-graphs having dominating vertices

A *dominating vertex* in a two-graph G is a vertex which is 0- or 1-adjacent to all other vertices of G.

Theorem 4. *The only friendship two-graph having a dominating vertex is the two-graph F of Figure 2.*

Proof. Let $G = (G_0, G_1)$ be a friendship two-graph with a dominating vertex u. Denote by N_0 (respectively, N_1) the set of all 0-neighbors (respectively, 1-neighbors) of u. Since u is a dominating vertex, $V(G) = \{u\} \cup N_0 \cup N_1$.

Fact 1. *No two vertices in N_0 are 1-adjacent, and no two vertices in N_1 are 0-adjacent.*

Proof. Suppose that vertices $v, w \in N_0$ are 1-adjacent, and consider a bicolored 2-path (v, x, w). By symmetry, we may assume that vx is a 1-edge, and xw is a 0-edge. Clearly $x \neq q$, and therefore either $x \in N_0$ or $x \in N_1$. If $x \in N_0$ then (u, x, v, w) a $(2+2)$-cycle, a contradiction. Thus, $x \in N_1$, and (u, x, w, v) a $(2+2)$-cycle, a contradiction.

The second statement is similar. □

A *star* (x, P) consists of a *central vertex* x and a set of *pendant vertices* P, each vertex of P being adjacent to u only. Note that the set P may be empty, in which case (x, P) has just one vertex x. Let X and Y be disjoint subsets of vertices. A *multi-star* (X, Y) consists of $|X|$ vertex-disjoint stars (x_i, P_i) centered at the vertices of X, all P_i are subsets of Y, and they constitute a partition of Y. An example of a multi-star (X, Y) is shown in Figure 7 for $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7, y_8\}$.



Figure 7: An example of a multi-star (X, Y).

Fact 2. (i) *The subgraph of* G_0 *induced by* $N_0 \cup N_1$ *is a multi-star* (N_1, N_0).

(ii) *The subgraph of* G_1 *induced by* $N_0 \cup N_1$ *is a multi-star* (N_0, N_1).

Proof. (i) Let $S(i)$ be the maximal star of 0-edges centered at an arbitrary vertex $x_i \in N_1$. By Fact 1, all pendant vertices of each $S(i)$ are in N_0. The stars $S(i)$ are pairwise vertex-disjoint. Indeed, if $S(i)$ and $S(j)$, $i \neq j$, have a common vertex $v \in N_0$, then (u, x_i, v, x_j) is a forbidden $(2+2)$-cycle. It remains to show that N_0 is covered by the pendant vertices of all $S(i)$. For every vertex $v \in N_0$, there must be a bicolored 2-path (u, x, v). Clearly, ux is a 1-edge and therefore xv is a 0-edge. Thus, v is covered by the star centered at x.

(ii) follows by symmetry. □

Now consider all bicolored 2-paths connecting a fixed vertex $v \in N_0$ with all other vertices of N_0. By Fact 1, every such 2-path (v, x, v') has $x \in N_1$. If vx is a 0-edge then Fact 2(i) shows that v' is unique. Hence all but two vertices in N_0 are connected with v by a bicolored 2-path (v, x, v') such that vx is a 1-edge. Let $M(v)$ be the set of the end-vertices $v' \in N_0$. Thus, $|M(v)| = |N_0| - 2$. Fact 2 implies that $M(v) \cup M(w) = emptyset$ whenever $v \neq w$. We obtain

$$|M(v)| \cdot |N_0| = |N_0|.$$

Since $N_0 \neq \emptyset$, we have $|M(v)| = |N_0| - 2 = 1$, or $|N_0| = 3$. By symmetry, $|N_1| = 3$. Note that the conclusion $|N_0| = |N_1| = 3$ is valid even for infinite two graph G. It shows that all stars in the multi-stars (N_0, N_1) and (N_1, N_0) are just edges. There is just one variant (up to isomorphism) for the subgraph induced by $N_0 \cup N_1$, see Figure 8, where $N_0 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $N_1 = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$.



Figure 8: The subgraph induced by the set $N_0 \cup N_1$.

It is clear that the sets N_0 and N_1 induce edgeless graphs. Thus, G is the two-graph F of Figure 2. □

6 A criterion

For $i \in \{0, 1\}$, let $\deg_i(u)$ denote the *i-degree* of a vertex u in a two-graph $G = (G_0, G_1)$, that is the total number of i-edge incident to u. The ordinary degree of u is $\deg(u) = \deg_0(u) + \deg_1(u)$.

Theorem 5. *$G = (G_0, G_1)$ is a friendship two-graph if and only if*

$$\sum_{u \in V(G)} \deg_0(u)\deg_1(u) = n(n-1)/2, \tag{1}$$

and there are no $(2+2)$-cycles in G.

Proof. The number of bicolored 2-paths centered at a fixed vertex u is exactly $\deg_0(u)\deg_1(u)$, so the left-hand side in (1) must be equal to the number of unordered pairs of distinct vertices, that is $n(n-1)/2$. Thus, (1) is equivalent to the statement that there are exactly $n(n-1)/2$ bicolored 2-paths. Finally, the existence of a $(2+2)$-cycle is equivalent to the statement that some unordered pairs of distinct vertices is connected by two bicolored 2-paths. □

Theorem 5 implies a lower bound on the maximum vertex degree $\Delta(G)$ of a friendship two-graph G.

Corollary 1. *If G is a friendship two-graph then*

$$\Delta(G) \geq \sqrt{2n-2}, \tag{2}$$

where $n = |V(G)|$.

Proof. An arbitrary term $\deg_0(u)\deg_1(u)$ in (1) does not exceed $\Delta^2(G)/4$. Therefore Theorem 5 gives $n\Delta^2(G)/4 \geq n(n-1)/2$, which is equivalent to (2). □

For an integer $k \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{DELTA}(k)$ denote the class of all two-graphs G with $\Delta(G) \leq k$.

Corollary 2. *For every k, the class $\mathcal{DELTA}(k)$ contains finitely many friendship two-graphs.*

Proof. Indeed, (2) implies that $(k^2+2)/2 \geq n$, that is all friendship two-graph in $\mathcal{DELTA}(k)$ have a bounded number of vertices. □

References

[1] J. A. Bondy, Kotzig's conjecture on generalized friendship graphs – a survey, in: *Cycles in graphs (Burnaby, B.C., 1982)*, North-Holland Math. Stud. **115** (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985) 351–366

[2] V. Chvátal, R. L. Graham, A. F. Perold, and S. H. Whitesides, Combinatorial designs related to the strong perfect graph conjecture, Discrete Math. **26** (2) (1979) 83–92

[3] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, and V. T. Sós, On a problem of graph theory, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **1** (1966) 215–235

[4] A. V. Kostochka, The nonexistence of certain generalized friendship graphs, in: *Combinatorics (Eger, 1987)*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai **52** (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988) 341–356